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Evaluation of the EIE-IgM-Leptospirose assay for the serodiagnosis of leptospirosis
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Abstract

Access to low-cost, effective diagnosis for leptospirosis is urgently needed in developing countries. The EIE-IgM-Leptospirose, a kit produced for public health laboratories in Brazil, was shown to have a sensitivity of 76% (77 of 102 patients) and 100% (102 of 102 patients) during acute and convalescent-phase leptospirosis, respectively, and a specificity of 93–100% (total healthy and patient control subjects evaluated, 486). These findings indicate that the assay will be useful for diagnosis of this emerging infectious disease in Brazil and other developing countries.
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Leptospirosis is an emerging infectious disease due to large recent outbreaks in developed and developing countries (Bharti et al., 2003; Levett, 2001; McBride et al., 2005). Infection with Leptospira spirochetes produces a spectrum of disease presentations ranging from a mild subclinical infection to severe forms, such as Weil’s disease and severe pulmonary haemorrhage syndrome, associated with high mortality (5–50%) (Bharti et al., 2003; McBride et al., 2005). More than 500,000 cases of leptospirosis are estimated to occur worldwide each year (WHO, 1999). However, these numbers significantly underestimate the true disease burden since (i) leptospirosis often goes unrecognized because of its non-specific presentation (Johnson et al., 2004; Murdoch et al., 2004; Russell et al., 2003; Segura et al., 2005), being misdiagnosed as dengue (Flannery et al., 2001; Karande et al., 2005; LaRocque et al., 2005), malaria (Ellis et al., 2006; Wongsrichanalai et al., 2003), or other causes of acute febrile illness, and (ii) standard diagnosis is based on antiquated methods, the microscopic agglutination test (MAT) and culture isolation, which are performed in few reference laboratories worldwide (Faine et al., 1999; WHO, 2003). Whole Leptospira-based serologic tests in ELISA and rapid formats are commercially available, and have a sensitivity of 28–72 and 75–94%, respectively, to detect acute and convalescent-phase illness, respectively (Bajani et al., 2003; Effler et al., 2000; Levett and Branch, 2002; Sehgal et al., 2003; Smits et
In 2002, a whole vaccination facility of the Brazilian ministry of health, developed by Bio-Manguinhos, the vaccine and diagnostic test producers, was established to decentralize diagnosis and improve case confirmation, and to reduce costs associated with diagnostic testing. Laboratory-confirmed cases of leptospirosis, also called leptospirosis (SUS, Brazilian Ministry of Health), are reported each year in Brazil, yet less than 25% of these cases are confirmed annually in favelas (slum communities) in all major urban centers during seasonal periods of heavy rainfall (Ko et al., 1999; Romero et al., 2003; Tassinari et al., 2004). More than 10,000 suspected cases are reported each year, yet less than 25% are laboratory-confirmed (SUS, Brazilian Ministry of Health, http://www.saude.gov.br). In an initiative to reduce costs associated with diagnostic testing, decentralize diagnosis and improve case confirmation, Bio-Manguinhos, the vaccine and diagnostic test production facility of the Brazilian ministry of health, developed in 2002 a whole Leptospira-based IgM ELISA, EIE-IgM-Leptospirose which it now manufactures for use in public health laboratory, and health care system. Herein we report the findings of a study performed to evaluate the performance of the kit during surveillance for urban leptospirosis.

During the study period (1996–1999) sera was collected from over 1000 patients hospitalized during the urban epidemics of leptospirosis that occur regularly in the city of Salvador, Brazil. Of the 393 patients with paired serum samples, 102 were randomly selected for the evaluation. Case confirmation was defined as patients with a clinical suspicion of leptospirosis and (i) isolation of Leptospira spp. from a clinical sample or (ii) a fourfold or more rise in MAT titres between acute and convalescent serum samples or (iii) a single serum sample with a MAT titre of ≥800. Acute phase samples were collected from individuals between 2 and 19 days (on average six days) after onset of illness. Convalescent phase samples were collected between 18 and 194 days (on average 29 days) after onset of illness, and after discharge of the patients from the hospital.

Sera were collected from 348 healthy individuals and 138 patients diagnosed with an illness other than leptospirosis. Of the healthy samples, 58 were randomly selected from a serum bank of 1400 collected during the study period of infectious disease in a leptospirosis endemic region of Salvador during 1998. A total of 184 samples were collected from individuals living in close proximity to neighbours diagnosed with severe leptospirosis during an epidemic in Salvador during 2001 (hyperendemic group). Sera from a further 46 healthy blood bank donors resident in Salvador and 60 from healthy individuals resident in California, an area with a low incidence of leptospirosis, were included in the evaluation. The sera from the non-leptospirosis disease categories included patients from the endemic region for leptospirosis in Salvador, Brazil with viral hepatitis (HBsAg positive), dengue (IgM ELISA positive), Lyme disease (ELISA and immunoblot confirmed), syphilis (VDRL positive), and patients with an initial clinical suspicion of leptospirosis but who were subsequently diagnosed with another illness. To evaluate the duration of the IgM antibody response to severe leptospirosis, sera from 64 individuals were collected up to five years after the original illness.

The MAT was performed using a standard procedure (Cole et al., 1973) to determine the presence of antibodies to Leptospira antigens using a battery of 12 strains representing eight serogroups (Autumnalis, Ballum, Canicola, Cynopteri, Grippotyphosa, Hurstbridge, Icterohaemorrhagiae, and Semaranga). A reduced battery of reference strains was used since previous studies found that all agglutination reactions observed in patient samples from the study site were directed against strains represented by the eight serogroups.

The EIE-IgM-Leptospirose ELISA kit is based on a whole-cell antigen extract obtained from a clinical isolate of L. interrogans serovar Copenhageni. The strain was cultured in EMJH at 30°C (WHO, 2003), and the pellet recovered and washed three times in PBS (6000 g). Sonicated antigen preparations, containing phenylmethylsulphonylfluoride (1 mM), were adsorbed to polystyrene flat-bottomed 8-well microtitre strips (500 µg/well). A kit calibrator sample is provided for quality control purposes and calculation of a cut-off threshold that is used to determine positive reactions. The assay is performed with diluted patient serum samples according to the manufacturer’s instructions (http://www.bio.fiocruz.br). Detection of bound antihuman IgM antibody conjugated to horseradish peroxidase is determined by measuring OD450 after incubation with 3,3′,5,5′-tetramethylbenzidine substrate. An index ratio was determined by dividing the OD450 value for the test sample by 2.3 times the OD450 value of the kit’s calibrator sample. A positive reaction was defined as an index ratio >1.0. The evaluation was performed in a blinded manner by a research centre (Gonçalo Moniz research centre) of the Brazilian Ministry of Health, which is autonomous from the kit’s manufacturer. The study protocol was approved by the IRB committees of the Oswaldo Cruz foundation and New York Presbyterian hospital.

The sensitivity of the IgM-ELISA was defined as the proportion of samples from confirmed cases of lep-
### Table 1
Reactivity of EIE-IgM-Leptospirose kit with samples from leptospirosis patients and control subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject group</th>
<th>No. (total)</th>
<th>Percentage positive reactions (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ELISA positive&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>MAT positive&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptospirosis patients</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute-phase</td>
<td>77 (102)</td>
<td>41 (102)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2–7 days</td>
<td>36 (58)</td>
<td>11 (58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8–13 days</td>
<td>33 (36)</td>
<td>23 (36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14–19 days</td>
<td>8 (8)</td>
<td>7 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convalescent-phase</td>
<td>102 (102)</td>
<td>102 (102)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late convalescent-phase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 months–1 year</td>
<td>17 (19)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–2 years</td>
<td>5 (6)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2–3 years</td>
<td>7 (11)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3–4 years</td>
<td>4 (7)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4–5 years</td>
<td>10 (20)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy control groups</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-endemic community (US)</td>
<td>0 (60)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood bank donors (Brazil)</td>
<td>3 (46)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endemic community (Brazil)</td>
<td>0 (58)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyper-endemic community (Brazil)</td>
<td>4 (184)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient control groups</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial clinical suspicion of leptospirosis</td>
<td>2 (30)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis</td>
<td>1 (19)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dengue</td>
<td>0 (30)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syphilis</td>
<td>1 (29)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lyme disease</td>
<td>0 (30)</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND, not determined.

<sup>a</sup> Defined as an index ratio of >1.0.

<sup>b</sup> Defined as a MAT screening criteria of a titre of ≥1:100.

tospirosis that were positive by ELISA. Specificity was defined as the proportion of samples for each control group, which were negative by IgM ELISA. Statistical calculations were made using the Epitable program in Epi Info ver. 6.04.

Evaluation of samples from 102 laboratory-confirmed cases identified during hospital-based surveillance in Salvador, Brazil found that the EIE-IgM-Leptospirose had an overall sensitivity of 75.5% in identifying acute-phase leptospirosis (Table 1). Sensitivity was 62.1% during the first week of illness, and increased significantly ($P < 0.001$) to 91.7% by the second week. All paired convalescent-phase samples demonstrated positive reactivity in the assay. The EIE-IgM-Leptospirose achieved significantly higher sensitivity ($P < 0.001$) than the MAT screening criteria of titre greater or equal to 1:100 (Faine et al., 1999, WHO, 2003) throughout acute-phase illness (Table 1). Agreement between the assay and the MAT for acute and convalescent-phase leptospirosis ranged from good to excellent, with kappa scores of 0.78 and 0.96, respectively.

The specificity of the EIE-IgM-Leptospirose was more than 93.3% during evaluation of healthy individual and patient control groups (Table 1). The specificity was 93.5–100% among healthy control subjects that included institutionalized individuals from California (nonendemic region), blood bank donors, and participants in a citywide serosurvey from Salvador, Brazil (endemic community, Table 1). Furthermore, assay specificity was 97.8% among residents of a high-risk slum community (hyperendemic) for annual leptospirosis epidemics. Reactivity was 5.3 and 0% in samples from patients with respectively, acute viral hepatitis and dengue, two diseases that have overlapping clinical presentations with leptospirosis, and was 6.7% among patients who were hospitalized with an initial suspicion for leptospirosis, but later confirmed to have another disease. Samples from patients with other spirochetal infections, Lyme disease and syphilis, had limited reactivity (0 and 3.4%, respectively) in the IgM ELISA. Follow-up evaluations were performed six months to five years after hospitalization to obtain sera from leptospirosis cases (Table 1). Evaluation of late convalescent-phase samples found that
IgM reactivity was 83.3% for samples collected one to two years after hospitalization, declining to 50.0% for samples collected four to five years postinfection (Fig. 1).

The evaluation found that the EIE-IgM-Leptospirose achieved similar performance characteristics as those reported for whole Leptospira-based serologic assays (Bajani et al., 2003; Effler et al., 2000; Levett and Branch, 2002; Sehgal et al., 2003; Smits et al., 2001a; Smits et al., 2001b; Vijayachari et al., 2002). This is not surprising for although the serovar source and preparation of antigen used in these assays may differ, they appear to detect an antibody response against the same immunodominant moiety, “broad reactive antigen” (Faine et al., 1999; Terpstra et al., 1985), which is expressed in the spectrum of pathogenic serovars. The EIE-IgM-Leptospirose demonstrated higher sensitivity (62.1%) during the first week of illness than reported (35–49%) for other whole Leptospira-based assays (Bajani et al., 2003; Effler et al., 2002; Vijayachari et al., 2002). However, this may relate more to differences among disease severity of patient populations evaluated in the trials. Direct comparison of the EIE-IgM-Leptospirose with other manufactured serologic kits will need to be performed in order to directly determine whether significant differences occur in the performance between these assays.

There are several caveats with respect to the evaluation’s findings. Firstly, the sensitivity of the EIE-IgM-Leptospirose, as with other whole Leptospira-based assays, is low (62.1%) during the first week of illness. Of note, sensitivity increased to 91.7% during the second week of illness (Table 1). Therefore, testing of a late acute-phase sample (≥10th day of illness) is recommended when an initial sample is negative. Secondly, the findings of this evaluation may not be relevant to situations outside of urban leptospirosis or urban centers that have different epidemiological patterns such as that encountered in Salvador. The etiologic agent for urban outbreaks in Salvador, Brazil is L. interrogans serovar Copenhageni (Ko et al., 1999), which was the source of antigen for the assay. Therefore, the evaluation was conducted in the setting of “homologous” infections, which may have contributed to the observed sensitivity of the assay. Performance may differ for other epidemiological settings, such as rural leptospirosis, where transmission is caused by other serovars. Urban epidemics are associated with severe disease presentations (Bharti et al., 2003; Levett, 2001; McBride et al., 2005), yet overall exposure rates may be lower than those in high endemic rural settings. In this evaluation the EIE-IgM-Leptospirose demonstrated a specificity of 93.5–100%. However, we found that 50.0% of patients had detectable IgM ELISA reactivity up to five years after hospitalization for leptospirosis (Table 1 and Fig. 1). Although reinfection cannot be excluded, these findings provide additional evidence for prolonged persistence of anti-whole Leptospira antibodies after infection (Abdulkader et al., 2002; Cumberland et al., 2001; Everard and Bennett, 1990; Finsterer et al., 2005; Lupidi et al., 1991), which in turn, may adversely affect the applicability of the assay in regions of high endemic transmission. In fact, high false positive rates have been reported for whole Leptospira-based assays in settings of rural leptospirosis (Cumberland et al., 2001; da Silva et al., 1997).

Nevertheless the evaluation found that the EIE-IgM-Leptospirose is a useful diagnostic tool for surveillance of urban leptospirosis. More than 96,000 individual tests are distributed nationally each year, thereby increasing access to leptospirosis diagnosis in Brazil. Furthermore, this kit demonstrated high specificity during evaluation of patient control groups that commonly confound the diagnosis of leptospirosis. Large outbreaks occur in marginalized slum communities in developing countries such as Brazil (Johnson et al., 2004; Karande et al., 2005; McBride et al., 2005). Diagnosis has become ever more critical in these settings with the emergence of dengue, which has hampered timely identification and treatment of leptospirosis. Large outbreaks occur in marginalized slum communities in developing countries such as Brazil (Johnson et al., 2004; Karande et al., 2005; McBride et al., 2005). Diagnosis has become ever more critical in these settings with the emergence of dengue, which has hampered timely identification and treatment of leptospirosis.
the developing world, public sector initiatives to produce diagnostic assays, such as pursued by the Brazilian Ministry of Health, will be an important public health response to this emerging problem.
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