|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Acetic Anhydride**  *Related Information: Chemical Sampling -* [*Acetic Anhydride*](https://www.osha.gov/dts/chemicalsampling/data/CH_216500.html) | |
| |  |  | | --- | --- | | Method no.: | 82 | |  |  | | Matrix: | Air | |  |  | | Target Concentration: | 5 ppm (20 mg/m3) | |  |  | | Procedure: | Samples are collected by drawing air through glass fiber filters impregnated with 2.5 mg of 1-(2-pyridyl)piperazine (1-2PP). Samples are extracted with 50:50 (v/v) 2-propanol/toluene containing benzalazine as an internal standard and analyzed by GC using a nitrogen-phosphorus detector (NPD). | |  |  | | Recommended air volume and sampling rate: | 0.75 L at 0.05 L/min | |  |  | | Reliable quantitation limit: | 0.17 L at 0.05 L/min | |  |  | | Standard error of estimate at the target concentration: ([Section 4.7](https://www.osha.gov/dts/sltc/methods/organic/org082/org082.html#sec47)) | 7.5% | |  |  | | Special caution: | The method is subject to interference from ketene and actyl chloride. | |  |  | | Status of method: | Evaluated method. This method has been subjected to the established evaluation procedures of the Organic Methods Evaluation Branch. | |  |  | | April 1990 | Yihlin Chan | |  |  | | |
|  |  |
| Methods Development Team Industrial Hygiene Chemistry Division  OSHA Salt Lake Technical Center Sandy UT 84070-6406 | |

1. General Discussion

1.1  Background

1.1.1  History  
  
The current NIOSH method ([Ref. 5.1](https://www.osha.gov/dts/sltc/methods/organic/org082/org082.html#ref51)) for monitoring airborne acetic anhydride specifies collection with a midget bubbler containing alkaline hydroxylamine and analysis by spectrophotometry. The bubbler is cumbersome and spectrophotometry is nonspecific. Airborne acetic anhydride has also been collected on Porapak N solid sorbent and analyzed by GC/FID ([Ref. 5.2](https://www.osha.gov/dts/sltc/methods/organic/org082/org082.html#ref52)). However, the samples can not be stored for more than 10 days without significant degradation, even with freezing. A more convenient sampling method using glass beads coated with 1-2PP has been reported ([Ref. 5.3](https://www.osha.gov/dts/sltc/methods/organic/org082/org082.html#ref53)). The derivative, 1-acetyl-4-(2-pyridyl)piperazine (AcPP), was analyzed by high performance thin layer chromatography. The derivatization stabilizes the analyte and eliminates the interference from acetic acid. It is recognized that ketene and acetyl chloride will also react with 1-2PP to form AcPP, but neither chemical is used widely in industry ([Ref. 5.4](https://www.osha.gov/dts/sltc/methods/organic/org082/org082.html#ref54)).  
  
In this method, a glass fiber filter (GFF) impregnated with 1-2PP was selected as the sampling medium and the derivative (AcPP) was analyzed by GC/NPD. Analysis by HPLC/UV is also possible, but not as sensitive as GC/NPD. A flow rate of 0.05 L/min was selected because capacity of the sampler was reduced at higher flow rates. Samples are collected closed-face to minimize contamination. The problem of the analyte concentrating in the center of the filter is minimized by the use of an extra spacer in the cassette.  
  
1.1.2  Toxic effects (This section is for information only and should not be taken as the basis of OSHA policy.) ([Ref. 5.5](https://www.osha.gov/dts/sltc/methods/organic/org082/org082.html#ref55) and [5.6](https://www.osha.gov/dts/sltc/methods/organic/org082/org082.html#ref56))  
  
Acetic anhydride vapors may be irritating to the eyes, nose and throat. Inhalation of vapors may cause severe irritation of the respiratory system. Due to its irritating effects, a ceiling value of 5 ppm (20 mg/m3) is set for OSHA PEL. Contact with the skin or eyes may cause burns. Ingestion may cause severe burns of the mouth, throat, and stomach. Ingestion may also cause nausea and vomiting.  
  
1.1.3  Workplace exposure   
  
Exposure to acetic anhydride may occur in the following operations: manufacture of cellulose esters, fibers, plastics, lacquers, protective coating solutions, photographic films, cigarette filters, magnetic tape, and thermoplastic molding compositions; manufacture of pharmaceuticals and pharmaceutical intermediates; use in organic synthesis as an acetylating agent, bleaching agent, and dehydrating agent; synthesis of perfume chemicals, explosives, and weed killers; use in acetylation of animal and vegetables oils; use as an acetylating agent and dehydrating agent in textile dyeing, chemical treatment of paper, and chemical analysis. ([Ref. 5.7](https://www.osha.gov/dts/sltc/methods/organic/org082/org082.html#ref57)) Of these, by far the greatest single application for acetic anhydride is in the manufacture of cellulose esters. It is estimated that 95% of the total U.S. production is used for this purpose. ([Ref. 5.8](https://www.osha.gov/dts/sltc/methods/organic/org082/org082.html#ref58))  
  
1.1.4  Physical properties and other descriptive information ([Ref. 5.9](https://www.osha.gov/dts/sltc/methods/organic/org082/org082.html#ref59). unless noted otherwise)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| chemical name: | acetic anhydride |
| CAS no.: | 108-24-7 |
| synonyms: | acetic acid, anhydride; acetic oxide; acetyl anhydride; acetyl ether; acetyl oxide; ethanoic anhydrate |
| formula: | (CH3CO)2O |
| mol wt: | 102.10 |
| boiling point: | 139°C |
| melting point: | -73°C |
| vapor pressure: | 0.67 kPa (5 mmHg) at 25°C ([Ref. 5.10](https://www.osha.gov/dts/sltc/methods/organic/org082/org082.html#ref510)) |
| flash point: | 49°C (closed cup) |
| specific gravity: | 1.080 |
| color: | colorless |
| odor: | strong acetic odor |
|  |  |
| Derivative: | ([Ref. 5.11](https://www.osha.gov/dts/sltc/methods/organic/org082/org082.html#ref511).) |
| chemical name: | 1-acetyl-4-(2-pyridyl)piperazine |
| structural formula: | [Figure 1.1.4](https://www.osha.gov/dts/sltc/methods/organic/org082/org082.html#fig114) |
| mol wt: | 205.27 |
| melting point: | 89.5-91.5°C |
| solubility: | soluble in methanol, acetonitrile, toluene, isopropanol, methylene chloride |
| mass spectrum: | [Figure 1.1.4](https://www.osha.gov/dts/sltc/methods/organic/org082/org082.html#fig114) |

The analyte air concentrations throughout this method are based on the recommended sampling and analytical parameters. Air concentrations listed in ppm are referenced to 25°C and 101 kPa (760 mmHg). The analyte concentrations are listed as those of acetic anhydride even though the derivative is the actual species analyzed.

1.2 Limit defining parameters

1.2.1  Detection limit of the analytical procedure  
  
The detection limit of the analytical procedure is 11 pg on column (1.0 µL injection of 0.076 µg/mL solution with 7:1 split). This is the amount of analyte which gave a peak with height about 5 times the baseline noise. ([Section 4.1](https://www.osha.gov/dts/sltc/methods/organic/org082/org082.html#sec41))  
  
1.2.2  Detection limit of the overall procedure  
  
The detection limit of the overall procedure is 0.51 µg per sample (0.16 ppm, 0.68 mg/m3). This is the amount of analyte spiked on the sampling device which allows recovery of an amount equivalent to the detection limit of the analytical procedure. ([Section 4.2](https://www.osha.gov/dts/sltc/methods/organic/org082/org082.html#sec42))  
  
1.2.3  Reliable quantitation limit  
  
The reliable quantitation limit is 0.51 µg per sample (0.16 ppm, 0.68 mg/m3). This is the smallest amount of analyte spiked on the sampling device which can be quantitated within the requirements of a recovery of at least 75% and a precision (±1.96 SD) of ±25% or better. ([Section 4.3](https://www.osha.gov/dts/sltc/methods/organic/org082/org082.html#sec43))

The reliable quantitation limit and detection limits reported in the method are based upon optimization of the instrument for the smallest possible amount of the analyte. When the target concentration of the analyte is exceptionally higher than these limits, they may not be attainable at the routine operating parameters.

1.2.4  Instrument response to the analyte  
  
The instrument response over the concentration range of 0.5 to 2 times the target concentration is linear. ([Section 4.4](https://www.osha.gov/dts/sltc/methods/organic/org082/org082.html#sec44))  
  
1.2.5  Recovery  
  
The recovery of AcPP from samples used in a 15-day storage test remained above 83% when the samples were stored at ambient temperature. ([Section 4.5](https://www.osha.gov/dts/sltc/methods/organic/org082/org082.html#sec45)) The recovery of an analyte from the collection medium during storage must be 75% or greater.  
  
1.2.6  Precision (analytical procedure only)  
  
Nine analytical standards were prepared from three stock standards (individually prepared) by making serial dilutions to represent 0.5, 1, and 2 times the target concentration.   
The pooled coefficient of variation obtained from duplicate injections of the nine analytical standards is 0.034. ([Section 4.6](https://www.osha.gov/dts/sltc/methods/organic/org082/org082.html#sec46))  
  
1.2.7  Precision (overall procedure)  
  
The precision at the 95% confidence level for the ambient 15-day storage test is ±14.7%. ([Section 4.7](https://www.osha.gov/dts/sltc/methods/organic/org082/org082.html#sec47)) This includes an additional ±5% for pump error. The overall procedure must provide results at the target concentration that are ±25% or better at the 95% confidence level.  
  
1.2.8  Reproducibility  
  
A draft copy of this procedure and six samples collected from a controlled test atmosphere at the target concentration [80% RH, 23°C, 87.7 kPa (658 mmHg)] were given to a chemist unassociated with this evaluation. The samples were stored in a refrigerator at 0°C for 1 day before being analyzed. No individual sample result deviated from its theoretical value by more than the precision reported in Section 1.2.7. ([Section 4.8](https://www.osha.gov/dts/sltc/methods/organic/org082/org082.html#sec48))

1.3  Advantage  
  
The acetic anhydride is derivatized in situ, eliminating the possibility of its being hydrolyzed during storage.  
  
1.4  Disadvantage  
  
The method is subject to interference from ketene and acetyl chloride.

2.  Sampling Procedure

2.1  Apparatus

2.1.1  A personal sampling pump that can be calibrated to within ±5% of the recommended flow rate with the sampling device in line.  
  
2.1.2  A four-piece polystyrene cassette containing two glass fiber filters each impregnated with 2.5 mg of 1-2PP. Impregnated filters are prepared by applying 0.5 mL of a solution of 5.0 mg/mL 1-2PP in methylene chloride to each glass fiber filter and allowing them to dry in a hood. Impregnated filters should be stored in a closed jar at reduced temperature before assembly.  
  
2.1.3  Assemble the cassette by placing the extra spacer in front of the first filter. ([Figure 2.3.1](https://www.osha.gov/dts/sltc/methods/organic/org082/org082.html#fig231))

2.2  Reagents  
  
No reagent is required for sampling.  
  
2.3  Sampling technique

2.3.1  Remove the plugs from the top and bottom pieces. Attach the sampler to the sampling pump with a piece of flexible tubing and place it in the worker's breathing zone.  
  
2.3.2  Replace the small plugs after sampling. Seal the sample end-to-end with an official OSHA seal (Form 21).  
  
2.3.3. Submit at least one blank with each set of samples. Handle the blank the same as the other samples except draw no air through it.  
  
2.3.4  List any potential interferences on the sample data sheet.

2.4  Sampler capacity  
  
The sampler capacity was evaluated with a test atmosphere (80% RH) at 1.7 times the target concentration. Two samplers were placed in series. The upstream sampler contained only the front filter. The back sampler was replaced with a new sampler every 20 min to monitor the downstream air concentration. The 5% breakthrough point, defined as the point where the downstream analyte concentration is 5% of the upstream concentration, was reached at 180 min of sampling at the recommended sampling rate. ([Section 4.9](https://www.osha.gov/dts/sltc/methods/organic/org082/org082.html#sec49))  
  
2.5  Extraction efficiency and stability of extracted samples ([Section 4.10](https://www.osha.gov/dts/sltc/methods/organic/org082/org082.html#sec410))

2.5.1  The average extraction efficiency at the target concentration was 97.7%.  
  
2.5.2  Extracted samples remain stable for at least 24 h when stored at room temperature.

2.6   Recommended air volume and sampling rate

2.6.1  The recommended air volume is 0.75 L.  
  
2.6.2  The recommended air sampling rate is 0.05 L/min.

2.7  Interferences (sampling)  
  
Compounds that can react with 1-2PP, such as isocyanates, acid chlorides, and other anhydrides, may interfere by consuming part of the derivatizing agent. Acetyl chloride and ketene cause positive interferences.  
  
2.8  Safety precautions (sampling)  
  
Attach the sampling equipment to the worker in such a manner that it will not interfere with work performance or safety. Follow all safety practices applicable to the work area.

3. Analytical Procedure

3.1  Apparatus

3.1.1  A GC equipped with a nitrogen-phosphorus detector (NPD). A Hewlett-Packard 5890 GC equipped with an NPD and a 7673A autosampler was used in this evaluation.  
  
3.1.2  A GC column capable of separating AcPP, benzalazine, and any interferences. A 15 m SPB-5 (0.32-mm i.d., 1-µm film) column was used in this evaluation.  
  
3.1.3  An electronic integrator or other suitable means of measuring detector response. A Hewlett-Packard 3357 laboratory data system was used in this evaluation.  
  
3.1.4  Scintillation vials, 20 mL.  
  
3.1.5  Volumetric flasks and pipets.

3.2  Reagents

3.2.1  Acetic anhydride. Acetic anhydride, ACS reagent grade, was obtained from Aldrich Chemical.  
  
3.2.2  1-(2-Pyridyl)piperazine. 1-(2-Pyridyl)piperazine, 98%, was obtained from Aldrich Chemical.  
  
3.2.3  1-Acetyl-4-(2-pyridyl)piperazine (AcPP). Synthesized as in [Section 4.12](https://www.osha.gov/dts/sltc/methods/organic/org082/org082.html#sec412).   
  
3.2.4  Benzalazine. Benzalazine from K & K was used in this evaluation.   
  
3.2.5  Toluene. Toluene was obtained from American Burdick and Jackson.   
  
3.2.6  2-Propanol. 2-Propanol, Optima, from Fisher was used.   
  
3.2.7  Extraction solvent with internal standard. Dissolve 10 mg of benzalazine in 1 L of toluene/2-propanol (50:50).

3.3  Standard preparation

3.3.1  Prepare stock standards by weighing 10-20 mg of AcPP (prepared as in [Section 4.12](https://www.osha.gov/dts/sltc/methods/organic/org082/org082.html#sec412).) in 10-mL volumetric flasks and diluting to volume with the extraction solvent. Apply a factor of 0.4973 to the weight of AcPP to convert it to that of free acetic anhydride. For example, 10 mg of AcPP dissolved in 10 mL will give a standard stock solution representing 0.4973 mg/mL or 497.3 µg/mL of acetic anhydride.  
  
(MW acetic anhydride) /( MW AcPP) = 102.09/205.27 = 0.4973   
  
3.3.2  Prepare analytical standards by further diluting the stock standards with the extraction solvent. An analytical standard of 3.0 µg/mL represents 1 times the target concentration.   
  
3.3.3  Prepare a sufficient number of standards to generate calibration curves. Analytical standard concentrations must bracket sample concentrations.

3.4  Sample preparation

3.4.1  Transfer the front and the back filters into separate 20-mL scintillation vials.   
3.4.2  Add 5.0 mL of the extraction solvent to each vial.   
3.4.3  Cap the vials and shake them on a mechanical shaker for 1 h.

3.5  Analysis

3.5.1  GC conditions

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| column: | SPB-5 (15 m, 0.32-mm i.d., 1-µm film) | |
| zone temperatures: | column - | 170°C to 250°C at 10°C/min |
|  | injector - | 200°C |
|  | detector - | 250°C |
| gas flows (mL/min): | hydrogen (carrier) - | 3.0 |
|  | nitrogen - | 27 |
|  | air - | 95 |
|  | hydrogen - | 3.8 |
| injection volume: | 1 µL (with a 7:1 split) |  |
| retention times (min): | 1-2PP - | 1.73 |
|  | benzalazine - | 4.27 |
|  | AcPP - | 4.64 |
| chromatogram: | [Figure 3.5.1](https://www.osha.gov/dts/sltc/methods/organic/org082/org082.html#fig351) |  |

3.5.2  Measure detector response using a suitable method such as electronic integration.   
  
3.5.3  Construct a calibration curve using an internal standard method by plotting µg/mL versus ISTD-corrected response of standard injections. Bracket the samples with analytical standards.

3.6  Interferences (analytical)

3.6.1  Any compound that responds on an NPD and has a similar retention time as benzalazine or AcPP is a potential interference. Generally, chromatographic conditions can be altered to separate an interference.  
  
3.6.2  Ketene and acetyl chloride, being able to react with 1-2PP to form AcPP, are interferences.  
  
3.6.3  Retention time on a single column is not considered proof of chemical identity. Analyte identity should be confirmed by GC/mass spectrometry if possible. The mass spectrum of AcPP is shown in [Figure 1.1.4](https://www.osha.gov/dts/sltc/methods/organic/org082/org082.html#fig114).

3.7  Calculations  
  
The analyte concentration for samples is obtained from the calibration curve in terms of micrograms per milliliter uncorrected for extraction efficiency. The concentrations are converted to µg per sample by multiplying with 5.0 mL (extraction volume). The back filter is analyzed primarily to determine if there was any breakthrough from the front filter during sampling. If a significant amount of analyte is found on the back filter (e.g., greater than 25% of the amount found on the front filter), this fact should be reported with sample results. If any analyte is found on the back filter, it is added to the amount found on the front filter. This total analyte amount is corrected by subtracting the amount found in the blank. The air concentration is obtained by using the following formula.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| mg/m3 = | (micrograms of analyte per sample)  (liters of air sampled) (extraction efficiency) |

where extraction efficiency = 0.977

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ppm = | (mg/m3) (24.46)  (102.09) |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| where: | 24.46 =  molar volume (liters) at 101 kPa (760 mmHg) and 25°C 102.09 = molecular weight of acetic anhydride |

3.8  Safety precautions (analytical)  
  
Avoid skin contact and inhalation of all chemicals. Restrict the use of all chemicals to a fume hood when possible. Wear safety glasses and a lab coat at all times while in the lab area.

4  Backup Data

4.1  Detection limit of the analytical procedure  
  
The detection limit of the analytical procedure is 11 pg on column (1.0 µL injection of 0.076 µg/mL solution with 7:1 split). This is the amount of analyte that will give a peak with height approximately 5 times the height of the baseline noise. A chromatogram of the detection limit of the analytical procedure is shown in [Figure 4.1](https://www.osha.gov/dts/sltc/methods/organic/org082/org082.html#fig41).  
  
4.2  Detection limit of the overall procedure   
  
The detection limit of the overall procedure is 0.51 µg per sample (0.16 ppm, 0.68 mg/m3). This is the amount of analyte spiked on the sampling device which allows recovery of an amount equivalent to the detection limit of the analytical procedure. Six 1-2PP-impregnated glass fiber filters were each liquid spiked with 0.51 µg of acetic anhydride (6 µL of 85.5 µg/mL solution). The samples were extracted 24 h later with 5.0 mL of the extraction solvent. The injection size listed in the analytical procedure (1 µL) was used in the determination of the detection limit of the overall procedure.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Table 4.2  Detection Limit of the Overall Procedure** | | |
|  | | |
| sample number | theoretical amount (µg) | amount recovered (µg) |
|  | | |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 | 0.513 0.513 0.513 0.513 0.513 0.513 | 0.577 0.535 0.578 0.546 0.587 0.500 |
|  | | |

4.3  Reliable quantitation limit  
  
The reliable quantitation limit is also 0.51 µg per sample (0.16 ppm, 0.68 mg/m3). This was derived from the samples and data of Table 4.2. Because the recovery was greater than 75% and the precision (1.96 SD) was less than 25%, the detection limit of the overall procedure and reliable quantitation limit are the same.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Table 4.3 Reliable Quantitation Limit  (based on samples and data of Table 4.2)** | | |
| % recovery | statistics | |
|  | | |
| 112.5 |  | |
| 104.3 | For problems with accessibility in using figures please contact the SLTC at (801) 233-4900.  = | 108.0% |
| 112.7 | SD  = | 6.5% |
| 106.4 | Precision  = | ±(1.96)(6.5%) |
| 114.4 | = | ±12.7% |
| 97.5 |  | |
|  | | |

4.4  Instrument response  
  
The instrument response (ISTD corrected) to AcPP over the range of 0.5 to 2 times the target concentration is linear with a slope of 1.055. The responses to AcPP were determined by duplicate injections of nine analytical standards prepared from three stock standards (individually prepared). Because the concentrations of these standards were slightly different, the ratios of response to µg/mL were compared. The data are summarized in Table 4.4. and presented graphically in [Figure 4.4](https://www.osha.gov/dts/sltc/methods/organic/org082/org082.html#fig44).

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Table 4.4 ISTD-corrected Instrument Response to AcPP** | | | | | | | | |
| 0.5× target µg/mL response ratio1 | | | 1× target µg/mL response ratio | | | 2× target µg/mL response ratio | | |
|  | | | | | | | | |
| 1.552 1.552 1.452 1.452 1.432 1.432 | 1.295 1.269 1.260 1.192 1.134 1.131 | 0.8344 0.8177 0.8678 0.8209 0.7919 0.7898 | 3.103 3.103 2.904 2.904 2.865 2.865 | 2.835 2.811 2.669 2.651 2.446 2.464 | 0.9136 0.9059 0.9191 0.9129 0.8538 0.8600 | 6.207 6.207 5.809 5.809 5.729 5.729 | 6.363 6.207 5.846 5.863 5.485 5.377 | 1.0251 1.0000 1.0064 1.0093 0.9574 0.9386 |
|  | | | | | | | | |
| 1ratio nbsp;= response / (µg/mL) | | | |  |  |  |  |  |

4.5  Storage data   
  
Thirty-six samples were generated by sampling a test atmosphere (one times the target concentration, 80% RH) at 0.05 L/min for 15 min. Six samples were analyzed immediately after the generation. Fifteen samples were stored in a refrigerator (0°C) and the other fifteen were stored in the dark at ambient temperature (20-25°C). Every few days over a 15-day period, three samples were selected from each of the two sets and analyzed. The results are listed Table 4.5. and presented graphically in Figures [4.5.1](https://www.osha.gov/dts/sltc/methods/organic/org082/org082.html#fig451) and [4.5.2](https://www.osha.gov/dts/sltc/methods/organic/org082/org082.html#fig452).

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Table 4.5 Storage Test** | | | | | | |
| storage time (days) | % recovery (ambient) | | | % recovery (refrigerated) | | |
|  | | | | | | |
| 0 0 5 11 12 14 15 | 102.6 94.6 103.6 93.9 80.9 85.6 72.7 | 95.5 102.6 98.6 88.6 85.7 88.4 76.7 | 103.2 101.6 93.9 98.4 83.2 88.2 90.8 | 102.6 94.6 118.0 98.0 83.8 89.6 89.7 | 95.5 102.6 98.9 102.6 85.0 89.6 84.9 | 103.2 101.6 90.4 101.1 102.3 90.3 92.5 |
|  | | | | | | |

4.6  Precision (analytical method only)  
  
The precision of the analytical procedure is 0.034. The precision of the analytical procedure is defined as the pooled coefficient of variation determined from duplicate injections of nine analytical standards representing 0.5, 1, and 2 times the target concentration ([Section 4.4](https://www.osha.gov/dts/sltc/methods/organic/org082/org082.html#sec44)).

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Table 4.6 Precision of the Analytical Method  (based on the data of Table 4.4.)** | | | | |
| × target conc. | | 0.5× | 1× | 2× |
|  | | | | |
| mean | | 0.8204 | 0.8942 | 0.9895 |
| SD | | 0.0290 | 0.0293 | 0.0337 |
| CV | | 0.0353 | 0.0328 | 0.0341 |
| CV | = 0.034 |  |  |  |
|  | | | | |

4.7  Precision (overall procedure)  
  
The precision of the overall procedure is determined from the storage data. The determination of the standard error of estimate (SEE) for a regression line plotted through the graphed storage data allows the inclusion of storage time as one of the factors affecting overall precision. The SEE is similar to the standard deviation except it is a measure of dispersion of data about a regression line instead of about a mean. It is determined with the following equation:

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| SEE = | [ |  (Yobs - Yest)2  n-k | ] | 1/2 |

where

n  =  total no. of data points  
k  =  2 for linear regression  
k  =  3 for quadratic regression  
  
Yobs  =  observed % recovery at a given time  
Yest  =   estimated % recovery form the regression line at the same given time

An additional ±5% for pump error is added to the SEE by the addition of variances. The precision at the 95% confidence level is obtained by multiplying the SEE (with pump error included) by 1.96 (the z-statistic from the standard normal distribution at the 95% confidence level). The 95% confidence intervals are drawn about their respective regression lines in the storage graphs as shown in [Figure 4.5.2](https://www.osha.gov/dts/sltc/methods/organic/org082/org082.html#fig452). The data for Figure 4.5.2. was used to determine the SEE of ±7.51% and the precision of the overall procedure at the 95% confidence level of 14.7%.  
  
4.8  Reproducibility data  
  
Six samples, collected from a controlled test atmosphere [80% RH, 20-25°C, 87.7 kPa (658 mmHg)] at the target concentration, were given to a chemist unassociated with this evaluation. The samples were stored for 1 day at about 0°C before being analyzed. The results are presented in Table 4.8. No sample result had a percent deviation greater than the precision of the overall procedure, which was ±14.7%.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Table 4.8 Reproducibility Data** | | | | |
| sample no. | µg found | µg expected | % found | % deviation |
|  | | | | |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 | 12.41 12.07 12.04 11.30 11.81 12.22 | 11.24 11.10 11.27 11.32 11.43 11.17 | 110.4 108.7 106.8 99.8 103.3 109.4 | +10.4  +8.7 +6.8 -0.2 +3.3 +9.4 |
|  | | | | |

4.9  Sampler capacity  
  
Sampler capacity was tested by sampling a test atmosphere of 33.4 mg/m3 acetic anhydride at ambient temperature and 80% relative humidity. Two samplers, each containing only the front filter, were placed in series and the back sampler was replaced with a new one every 20 minutes to monitor the downstream air concentration. The sampling rate was 0.0507 L/min. The data are presented in [Figure 4.9](https://www.osha.gov/dts/sltc/methods/organic/org082/org082.html#fig49). The 5% breakthrough point, defined as the point where the downstream analyte concentration reaches 5% of the upstream concentration, was 180 min.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Table 4.9 Breakthrough Data at 1.7 × Target Concentration** | | | |
| time1 (min) | breakthrough (%) | time1 (min) | breakthrough (%) |
|  | | | |
| 10 30 50 70 90 110 130 150 | 1.0 3.1 1.0 0.6 1.4 1.1 0.8 2.1 | 170 190 210 230 250 270 290 | 4.6 6.3 8.0 10.4 13.3 15.2 18.7 |
|  | | | |

1midpoint of each sampling period  
  
  
4.10  Extraction efficiency and stability of extracted samples

4.10.1  Extraction efficiency   
  
The extraction efficiency for AcPP was determined by liquid-spiking 1-2PP-impregnated GFF's with acetic anhydride at the target concentration (15.75 µg). These samples were stored at ambient temperature overnight and then extracted and analyzed. The average extraction efficiency was 97.7%.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Table 4.10.1 Extraction Efficiency** | | | |
| sample no. | µg spiked | µg recovered | % recovered |
|  | | | |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6  For problems with accessibility in using figures please contact the SLTC at (801) 233-4900. | 15.75 15.75 15.75 15.75 15.75 15.75 | 15.42 15.42 15.59 14.61 15.46 15.79 | 97.9 97.9 99.0 92.8 98.2 100.3   97.7 |
|  | | | |

4.10.2  Stability of extracted samples  
  
The stability of extracted samples was investigated by reanalyzing the extracted samples with fresh standards about 24 h after the original analysis. The samples had been recapped and stored at room temperature. The average of the reanalyzed samples relative to the average of the original analysis was 99.8%.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Table 4.10.2 Stability of Extracted Samples** | | |
| original result (%) | reanalyzed result (%) | reanlalyzed relative to original (%) |
|  | | |
| 97.9 97.9 99.0 92.8 98.2 100.3 | 97.3 100.5 100.0 91.4 100.6 94.9 | 99.4 102.6 101.0 98.5 102.4 94.6 |
|  | | |

4.11  Chromatograms   
  
A chromatogram at the detection limit of the analytical procedure is shown in [Figure 4.1](https://www.osha.gov/dts/sltc/methods/organic/org082/org082.html#fig41) and a chromatogram at the target concentration is shown in [Figure 3.5.1](https://www.osha.gov/dts/sltc/methods/organic/org082/org082.html#fig351).  
  
4.12  Synthesis of AcPP

4.12.1  Reagents   
  
1-(2-Pyridyl)piperazine, 98%, from Aldrich  
Acetic anhydride, reagent grade, from Aldrich   
Toluene, from American Burdick and Jackson   
Isooctane, Optima, from Fisher Scientific   
Anhydrous sodium carbonate, reagent, from Mallinckrodt   
Activated charcoal, from SKC  
  
4.12.2  Apparatus   
  
Erlenmeyer flasks  
Filtering flask  
Fritted-glass filtering funnel  
  
4.12.3  Procedure  
  
Add a solution of 1.63 g of 1-2PP in 25 mL of toluene to a solution of 1.02 g acetic anhydride in 25 mL of toluene. Stir the mixture for 10 min. Add 2 g of sodium carbonate (to remove the by-product acetic acid) and let stand at room temperature overnight. Filter the solution and decolorize with activated charcoal if desired. Evaporate the toluene to about 25 mL. Slowly add isooctane until the solution turns cloudy. Add a few drops of toluene to make the solution clear again. Remove from the hot plate and let stand at room temperature. Collect the resulting crystals by filtration. Recrystallize from toluene/isooctane; m.p. 89.5-91.5°C; quantitative yield.

 

|  |
| --- |
| **For problems with accessibility in using figures please contact the SLTC at (801) 233-4900.  Figure 1.1.4  Molecular structure and mass spectrum of 1-acetyl-4-(2-pyridyl)piperazine. The mass spectrum was obtained with a Perkin-Elmer ion trap detector.** |

|  |
| --- |
| **For problems with accessibility in using figures please contact the SLTC at (801) 233-4900. Figure 2.3.1  A drawing of a sample cassette.** |

|  |
| --- |
| **For problems with accessibility in using figures please contact the SLTC at (801) 233-4900.  Figure 3.5.1  Chromatogram at target concentration. 1  = benzalazine, 2 AcPP.** |

|  |
| --- |
| **For problems with accessibility in using figures please contact the SLTC at (801) 233-4900. Figure 4.1  Detection limit of the analytical procedure. 1  = benzalazine, 2  = AcPP.** |

|  |
| --- |
| **For problems with accessibility in using figures please contact the SLTC at (801) 233-4900. Figure 4.4  Calibration curve for AcPP.** |

|  |
| --- |
| **For problems with accessibility in using figures please contact the SLTC at (801) 233-4900.  Figure 4.5.1  Storage test at reduced temperature.** |

|  |
| --- |
| **For problems with accessibility in using figures please contact the SLTC at (801) 233-4900. Figure 4.5.2  Storage test at ambient temperature.** |

|  |
| --- |
| **For problems with accessibility in using figures please contact the SLTC at (801) 233-4900.  Figure 4.9  Breakthrough curve for acetic anhydride.** |

5. References

5.1  Method No. 3506 in "NIOSH Manual of Analytical Methods," 3rd edition, 1985 Supplement, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Center for Disease Control, NIOSH; Cincinnati, OH, 1986.  
  
5.2  Qazi, A.H. and Vincent, W.J., "Sampling and Analysis of Acetic Anhydride in Air," Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J., 40(9): 803-808 (1979).  
  
5.3  Langhorst, M.L., "Monitoring Airborne Reactive Chemicals by Derivatization and High Performance Thin Layer Chromatography - Anhydrides, Acid Halides, Isocyanates," Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J., 46(5): 236-243 (1985).  
  
5.4  Standen, A., Ed., "Kirk-Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology," 3rd edition, Volume 1, p. 163. Interscience Publishers, New York, N.Y., 1984.  
  
5.5  J.T. Baker Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDS).  
  
5.6  "Air Contaminants - Permissible Exposure Limits," Code of Federal Regulations, Title 29; 1910.1000, U.S. Department of Labor, OSHA; Washington, D.C., 1989, DOL (OSHA) Publ. No. OSHA 3112.  
  
5.7  "NIOSH/OSHA Occupational Health Guidelines for Chemical Hazards," U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Government Printing Office, DHHS(NIOSH) Publication No. 81-123.  
  
5.8  Reference 5.4. Volume 1, p. 158.  
  
5.9  Sweet, D.V., Ed., "Registry of Toxic Effects of Chemical Substances," 1985-86 edition, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Government Printing Office, DHHS(NIOSH) Publication No. 87-114.  
  
5.10  Weast, R.C., Ed., "Handbook of Chemistry and Physics," 67th edition, Boca Raton, Florida, CRC Press, 1986.  
  
5.11  Author's personal observations.